

## Lecture 23 Rings and Fields.

Definition A Ring is a nonempty set  $R$  with two binary operations  $(a, b) \mapsto a+b$  called addition,  $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$  called multiplication.

Both are maps  $R \times R \rightarrow R$  (so  $R$  is closed under the operations). They must also satisfy:

- (1)  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group:
- (2) multiplication is associative  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c \quad (\forall a, b, c \in R)$
- (3) multiplication distributes over addition: for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  
 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \quad (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a.$

⊗ That  $(R, +)$  be an abelian group means

- Addition is association and commutative: for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  
 $a + (b+c) = (a+b) + c, \quad a+b = b+a.$
- There is an identity element for addition; we use  $0$  (zero) for this, or  $0_R$  if it may be ambiguous.  
 $(\forall a \in R) 0+a=a=a+0$
- There are additive inverses. We use  $-a$  for this  
 $(\forall a \in R) -a$  exists and  $a+(-a)=0=(-a)+a$

⊗ In our definition, a ring is not required to have a multiplicative identity. If there is one, we denote it by  $1$  or  $1_R$ . It has the property that  $(\forall a \in R)(1 \cdot a = a = a \cdot 1)$ . We call  $R$  a ring with 1 or ring with multiplicative identity.

⊗ If  $R$  is a ring with 1, we can ask if multiplicative inverses exist. We write  $a^{-1}$  for an element such that  $a a^{-1} = 1 = a^{-1} a$ , if it exists. If  $a^{-1}$  exists, we say  $a$  is invertible or  $a$  is a unit. We write

$$R^\times = \{a \in R \mid a^{-1} \text{ exists in } R\} \text{ for the set of units in } R.$$

$R^\times$  is always a group under multiplication.

⊗ The multiplication is **not required** to be commutative. If it is ( $\forall a, b \in R, a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ ) then we say  $R$  is a commutative ring.

⊗ A commutative ring with 1 in which every nonzero element is invertible is called a field.

If  $R$  is a field, then  $R^\times = R \setminus \{0\}$ .

(We require  $1 \neq 0$  for a field, so  $\{0\}$  is not a field)

Def Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. A subset  $S \subseteq R$  is called a subring if it is closed under  $+$  and  $\cdot$  and those operations make  $S$  into a ring itself.

Examples:  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  are fields

$\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field if  $p$  is prime.  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$

- $\mathbb{Z}$  is a commutative ring with 1.  $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{1, -1\}$
- $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring with 1, not a field if  $n$  is composite  
 $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{[k] \mid \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$
- $n\mathbb{Z} = \{ku \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a commutative ring, but does not have a multiplicative identity (unless  $n = \pm 1$ )
- $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a subring.

A more exotic ring  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a+b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

It's a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$

$$(a+b\sqrt{2}) + (a'+b'\sqrt{2}) = (a+a') + (b+b')\sqrt{2}$$

$\in \mathbb{Q}$        $\in \mathbb{Q}$

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b\sqrt{2})(a'+b'\sqrt{2}) &= aa' + ab'\sqrt{2} + a'b\sqrt{2} + bb'\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} \\ &= (aa' + 2bb') + (ab' + a'b)\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \end{aligned}$$

$\in \mathbb{Q}$        $\in \mathbb{Q}$

It contains 0 and additive inverses.

In fact  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is a field! It has multiplicative inverses.

$$(a+b\sqrt{2})^{-1} = \frac{a-b\sqrt{2}}{a^2-2b^2} \quad \text{if } a \text{ and } b \text{ are not both 0.}$$

$$\text{Indeed } \left( \frac{a-b\sqrt{2}}{a^2-2b^2} \right) (a+b\sqrt{2}) = \frac{a^2 - (b\sqrt{2})^2}{a^2-2b^2} = \frac{a^2-2b^2}{a^2-2b^2} = 1$$

Note: the denominator  $a^2-2b^2$  cannot be zero  
 when  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , unless  $a=b=0$ . For if  $a^2-2b^2=0$ ,  
 then  $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 = 2$ . But  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

You check:  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a subfield.  
 (subring which is a field).  $(i^2 = -1)$

General construction: Let  $R$  be a ring,  $S$  any set.

then  $R^S = \{f: S \rightarrow R\}$ , the set of all functions  $S \rightarrow R$   
 is a ring, with operations, for  $f, g \in R^S$

$$(f+g)(s) = f(s) + g(s) \quad (f \cdot g)(s) = f(s) \cdot g(s)$$

↑  
addition in  $R$

↑  
multiplication in  $R$ .

We can also consider functions with some property.

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subset. Let  $C(S, \mathbb{R}) = \{f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$   
 then  $C(S, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$  is a subring.

Instead of continuous functions, we may simply consider polynomials.  
 This can actually be done completely abstractly, for any "coefficient ring"

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Polynomials over  $R$  in the variable  $x$   
 is the ring

$$R[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i \mid N \geq 0, a_i \in R \text{ for } i=0, 1, \dots, N \right\}$$

Note that  $x$  is just a symbol (it need not have any interpretation)

The addition is defined to be

$$\sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i + \sum_{j=0}^M b_j x^j = \sum_{i=0}^{\max(N,M)} (a_i + b_i) x^i \quad \begin{cases} \text{set } a_i = 0 \text{ if } i > N \\ \text{set } b_i = 0 \text{ if } i > M \end{cases}$$

The multiplication is defined to be

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^M b_j x^j \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i} \right) x^k$$

If  $R$  has 1, so does  $R[x]$ .

Can also consider more variables  $R[x, y]$   $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

## Polynomials over a field

Let  $K$  be a field ( $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \dots$ ), and let  $K[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in the variable  $x$  over  $K$ . A general element  $f \in K[x]$  is a polynomial

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n = a_N x^N + a_{N-1} x^{N-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

where the coefficients  $a_n$  are elements of  $K$ .

Def: The degree of  $f$ ,  $\deg(f)$  is the greatest  $n$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then  $a_n$  is called the leading coefficient

Ex:  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$   $f = e^2 x^4 + \pi x + 2$ :  
 $\deg(f) = 4$  leading coefficient =  $e^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Convention: when some terms aren't written, it means the corresponding coefficient is zero:  $f = e^2 x^4 + \pi x + 2 = e^2 x^4 + 0 \cdot x^3 + 0 \cdot x^2 + \pi \cdot x + 2$   
 $a_4 = e^2 \quad a_3 = 0 \quad a_2 = 0 \quad a_1 = \pi \quad a_0 = 2$

At the other extreme, the term  $a_0$  is called the constant term. We can regard the field  $K$  as a subring of  $K[x]$  consisting of polynomials that have only a constant term. We write  $K \subseteq K[x]$ .

The zero polynomial  $f = 0$  has no nonzero coefficients, so technically its degree is undefined. But it is useful to make the convention that  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ .

Proposition: If  $f, g \in K[x]$ ,  $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$ , then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \deg(f+g) \leq \max(\deg(f), \deg(g))$$

and equality holds if  $\deg(f) \neq \deg(g)$ .

Proof of ①: let  $f = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x^n$ ,  $g = \sum_{m=0}^M b_m x^m$ , with  $N = \deg(f)$ ,  $M = \deg(g)$

so the leading coefficients are  $a_N \neq 0$  and  $b_M \neq 0$ .

Then  $fg = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M} \left( \sum_{n=0}^k a_n b_{k-n} \right) x^k$

the  $k = N+M$  coefficient  $\sum_{n=0}^{N+M} a_n b_{(N-n)+M} = a_N b_M$

since  $a_n = 0$  for  $n > N$  and  $b_{(N-n)+M} = 0$  for  $n < N$

in other words, the highest power of  $x$  that can appear in  $fg$  is  $x^{N+M}$ , and the coefficient is  $a_N b_M$ .

Since  $a_N \neq 0$  and  $b_M \neq 0$ , and  $K$  is a field,  $a_N b_M \neq 0$ .

So  $\deg(fg) = N+M = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$

[In any field  $K$ ,  $0 \cdot a = 0$  for all  $a \in K$ :

Proof:  $1 \cdot a = (0+1) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 1 \cdot a$  (distributive law)

$a = 0 \cdot a + a$  (multiplicative identity)

$0 = 0 \cdot a$  (since  $(K, +)$  is a group, we have cancellation law for addition)

In a field  $K$ , If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then  $ab \neq 0$ .

Proof: If  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , but  $a \cdot b = 0$ , then multiply by  $b^{-1}$ :  $abb^{-1} = 0 \cdot b^{-1} = 0$

$$a \cdot 1 = 0$$

$a = 0$  contradiction.

Proof of ②: Exercise.